



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,196	06/20/2000	John Zimmerman	US000127	6011
24737 759	90 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BAUGH, APRIL L	
P.O. BOX 3001	***		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/597,196	ZIMMERMAN, JOHN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	April L. Baugh	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, perio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fo te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,9,10 and 12-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,9,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in naority under 35 U.S.C. & 119)(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	m priority and or or or or or or	(4) (4) 5. (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	·	· ·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	arracent Application (F10-192)			
L U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050414			

Application/Control Number: 09/597,196

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 5, 7, 9-10 and 12-16 are now pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 7, 9-10 and 12-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 5 and 14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Li et al. (US 6,012,088).

Regarding claim 5, AAPA teaches an appliance, comprising: a controller and a receiver connected thereto and effective to receive an ID device identifier (pg. 3, line 21-pg.4, line 3); a network interface; the controller being further programmed to access profile data on the profile server (pg.3, lines 8-11).

AAPA does not teach of a relay server. Li et al. teaches a relay server corresponding to the ID device identifier; the controller being programmed to: transmit data responsive to the

identifier to the relay server and receive a profile address in response from the relay server: the controller being further programmed to access data on the server (Fig. 8, column 3, lines 25-38, column 11, lines 17-26, column 12, lines 38-53, column 12, line 66-column 13, line 3, column 13, lines 11-17 and 30-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the personalization of smart appliances of AAPA by having a relay server because each appliance can be pre-preprogrammed with the relay server location, independent of the location of the particular user's profile data and conversely the user's profile can be located anywhere independent of any particular appliance.

Referring to claims 14, AAPA teaches a method of controlling an appliance, comprising: receiving an address from a remote device, transmitting a request to the profile server, receiving a profile from the profile server, and controlling the appliance in dependence upon the profile.

AAPA does not teach of a relay server. Li et al. teaches transmitting a first request to the relay server, receiving an address of a profile server from the relay server, transmitting a second request to the profile server, receiving a profile from the profile server (Fig. 8, column 3, lines 25-38, column 11, lines 17-26, column 12, lines 38-53, column 12, line 66-column 13, line 3, column 13, lines 11-17 and 30-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the personalization of smart appliances of AAPA by having a relay server because each appliance can be pre-preprogrammed with the relay server location, independent of the location of the particular user's profile data and conversely the user's profile can be located anywhere independent of any particular appliance.

Referring to claim 16, AAPA teaches the method of claim 14, further including receiving an address from another remote device, transmitting a request to the other profile server,

receiving another profile from the other profile server, and controlling the appliance in dependence upon the other profile.

AAPA does not teach of a relay server. Li et al. teaches transmitting a third request to the other relay server, receiving an address of an other profile server from the other relay server, transmitting a fourth request to the other profile server, receiving an other profile from the other profile server (Fig. 8, column 3, lines 25-38, column 11, lines 17-26, column 12, lines 38-53, column 12, line 66-column 13, line 3, column 13, lines 11-17 and 30-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the personalization of smart appliances of AAPA by having a relay server because each appliance can be pre-preprogrammed with the relay server location, independent of the location of the particular user's profile data and conversely the user's profile can be located anywhere independent of any particular appliance.

Regarding claim 15, AAPA teaches the method of claim 14, wherein the remote device is a radio-frequency identification device (pg. 3, line 21 through pg. 4, line 3).

3. Claims 7, 9, 10, 12, and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Li et al. (US 20002/0165773) as applied to claims 5, 14-16 above, and further in view of Killian (US 6,163,316).

Regarding claims 9, AAPA in view of Li et al. teaches a method wherein: receiving at least the portion of the first configuration data includes receiving first relay data responsive to a network server identified in the first access data, receiving profile data made accessible via the network by the first relay data (pg. 3, line 5-11 and pg. 4, lines 4-11 of AAPA) and receiving at least the portion of the second configuration data includes receiving second relay data responsive

Application/Control Number: 09/597,196

Art Unit: 2141

to a network server identified in the second access data, and receiving profile data made accessible via the network by the second relay data (Fig.8, column 3, lines 25-38, column 11, lines 17-26, column 12, lines 38-53, column 12, line 66-column 13, line 3, column 13, lines 11-17 and 30-35 of Li et al.).

AAPA in view of Li et al. does not teach configuring the appliance responsively to the first configuration data and reconfiguring the appliance responsively to the second configuration data. Killian teaches controlling the operation of an appliance, comprising: receiving, at an appliance, first access data from a first remote device, the access data providing network access to first configuration data; receiving at the appliance at least a portion of the first configuration data via the network; configuring the appliance responsively to the first configuration data; receiving, at the appliance, second access data to the appliance from a second remote device, the second access data providing network access to second configuration data; receiving at the appliance at least a portion of the second configuration data; reconfiguring the appliance responsively to the second configuration data (column 2, lines 6-12 and column 9, lines 15-19 and column 10, lines 55-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the personalization of smart appliances of AAPA in view of Li et al. by configuring the appliance responsively to the first configuration data and reconfiguring the appliance responsively to the second because this allows viewers that as a group are watching the television at the same time to be able to have the program guide and shows configured to please everyone watching.

Referring to claim 7, AAPA teaches a method as in claim 9, wherein each of the first remote device and the second remote device correspond to a portable device (pg. 3, line 5-11).

Referring to claim 10, AAPA teaches a method as in claim 9, wherein: each of the first and second remote devices corresponds to a radio frequency identification device (pg. 3, line 21 through pg. 4, line 3).

Referring to claim 12, AAPA teaches a method as in claim 10, wherein delivering the first and second access data includes co-locating the radio frequency identification device with the appliance (pg. 3, line 21 through pg. 4, line 3).

Regarding claim 13, AAPA teaches a method as in claim 9, wherein receiving at least the portion of the first configuration data includes receiving a portion of profile data including data relating to the appliance and data relating to another type of appliance (pg. 3, line 5-11 and pg.4, lines 4-11).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to personalization of smart appliances in general: White et al., Giordano, III et al., Piazza et al., Kikinis, Jones et al., Hayes, Jr., Nobakht et al., Boyles et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April L. Baugh whose telephone number is 571-272-3877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,196 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALB

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER